THE STORY OF PHILOSOPHY

BY
WILL DURANT

NEW REVISED EDITION

ILLUSTRATED



GARDEN CITY PUBLISHING CO., INC.
NEW YORK



SOCRATES

TO MY WIFE

Grow strong, my comrade . . . that you may stand Unshaken when I fall; that I may know
The shattered fragments of my song will come
At last to finer melody in you;
That I may tell my heart that you begin
Where passing I leave off, and fathom more.

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

APOLOGIA PRO LIBRO SUO

I

My publishers have asked me to use the occasion given by a new edition of The Story of Philosophy to discuss the general question of "outlines," and to consider some of the short-comings of the volume. I am glad of this opportunity to acknowledge these, and to express with all the weakness of mere words the gratitude that I must always feel for the generosity with which, despite so many defects, the American public has received this book.

The "outlines" came because a million voices called for them. Human knowledge had become unmanageably vast; every science had begotten a dozen more, each subtler than the rest; the telescope revealed stars and systems beyond the mind of man to number or to name; geology spoke in terms of millions of years, where men before had thought in terms of thousands; physics found a universe in the atom, and biology found a microcosm in the cell; physiology discovered inexhaustible mustery in every organ, and psychology in every dream; anthropology reconstructed the unsuspected antiquity of man, archeology unearthed buried cities and forgotten states, history proved all history false, and painted a canvas which only a Spengler or an Eduard Meyer could vision as a whole; theology crumbled, and political theory cracked; invention complicated life and war, and economic creeds overturned governments and inflamed the world; philosophy itself, which had once summoned all sciences to its aid in making a coherent image of the world and an alluring picture of the good, found its task of coördination too stupendous for its courage, ran

away from all these battlefronts of truth, and hid itself in recondite and narrow lanes, timidly secure from the issues and responsibilities of life. Human knowledge had become too great for the human mind.

All that remained was the scientific specialist, who knew "more and more about less and less," and the philosophical speculator, who knew less and less about more and more. specialist put on blinders in order to shut out from his vision all the world but one little spot, to which he glued his nose. Perspective was lost. "Facts" replaced understanding; and knowledge, split into a thousand isolated fragments, no longer generated wisdom. Every science, and every branch of philosophy, developed a technical terminology intelligible only to its exclusive devotees; as men learned more about the world. they found themselves ever less capable of expressing to their educated fellow-men what it was that they had learned. The gap between life and knowledge grew wider and wider; those who governed could not understand those who thought, and those who wanted to know could not understand those who knew. In the midst of unprecedented learning popular ignorance flourished, and chose its exemplars to rule the great cities of the world; in the midst of sciences endowed and enthroned as never before, new religions were born every day, and old superstitions recaptured the ground they had lost. The common man found himself forced to choose between a scientific priesthood mumbling unintelligible pessimism, and a theological priesthood mumbling incredible hopes.

In this situation the function of the professional teacher was clear. It should have been to mediate between the specialist and the nation; to learn the specialist's language, as the specialist had learned nature's, in order to break down the barriers between knowledge and need, and find for new truths old terms that all literate people might understand. For if knowledge became too great for communication, it would degenerate into scholasticism, and the weak acceptance of authority; mankind would slip into a new age of faith, worship-

ing at a respectful distance its new priests; and civilization, which had hoped to raise itself upon education disseminated far and wide, would be left precariously based upon a technical erudition that had become the monopoly of an esoteric class monastically isolated from the world by the high birth rate of terminology. No wonder that all the world applauded when James Harvey Robinson sounded the call for the removal of these barriers and the humanization of modern knowledge.

I

The first "outlines," the first efforts at the humanization of knowledge, were Plato's Dialogues. The pundits possibly know that the Master wrote two sets of works—one in technical language for his students at the Academy; the other a group of popular dialogues designed to lure the average literate Athenian into philosophy's "dear delight." It did not seem to Plato any insult to philosophy that it should be transformed into literature, realized as drama, and beautified with style; nor any derogation to its dignity that it should apply itself, even intelligibly, to living problems of morality and the state. By the humor of history, his technical works were lost, and his popular works remain. By the irony of history it is these popular dialogues that have given Plato his reputation in the schools.

For us, however, the career of the outline begins with H. G. Wells. The historians did not quite know what to do with The Outline of History; Professor Schapiro described it as full of errors, and a liberal education. It was full of errors, as any book of large scope is bound to be; but it was an astonishing and stimulating performance for one mind. The journalistic genius of Mr. Wells had tied the volumes up with the movement towards international peace, and had entered them as an important team in the "race between education and catastrophe." No one wanted catastrophe, and every one bought the book. History became popular, and historians be-

came alarmed. Now it would be necessary for them to write as interestingly as H. G. Wells.

Strange to say, two of them did. Professor Breasted, of Chicago and Egypt, revised and improved an old text-book, and Professor Robinson did the same; an enterprising publishing firm gathered their work into two handsome volumes, gave them a captivating title—The Human Adventure—and issued the best outline of all, a masterpiece of exposition as authoritative as a German and as clear as a Gaul. Nothing in their field has equaled those volumes to date.

Meanwhile Hendrik Willem van Loon had romped over the same ground with a pen in one hand, a pencil in the other, and a twinkle in his eyes. He cared nothing for dignity, and loved a joke surpassing well; he went laughing down the centuries, and pointed his moral with drawings and smiles. Adults bought The Story of Mankind for their children, and surreptitiously read it themselves. The world was becoming scandalously informed about history.

The appetite of the layman grew by what it fed on. were in America millions of men and women who had been unable to go to college, and who thirsted for the findings of history and science; even those who had gone through college showed a moderate hunger for knowledge. When John Macy published The Story of the World's Literature thousands welcomed it as a genial and illuminating survey of a fascinating field. And when The Story of Philosophy appeared it had the good fortune to catch this wave of curiosity on the rise. and to be lifted to an undreamed-of popularity. Readers were astonished to find that philosophy was interesting because it was, literally, a matter of life and death. They passed along the word to their friends, and soon it became the fashion to praise, to buy, even, occasionally, to read, this book that had been written for a few. All in all it was such a success as no author who has known it once can ever hope to know again.

Then came the flood. Outline followed outline, "story" followed "story"; science and art, religion and law, had their

storiographers, and Bekker's slight essay was avidly transformed into The Story of Religion. One author produced in one volume an outline of all knowledge, thereby making Wells, van Loon, Macy, Slosson, Breasted and the rest superfluous. The public appetite was quickly satiated; critics and professors complained of superficiality and haste, and an undertow of resentment set in, which reached every outline from the last to the first. As quickly as it had come, the fashion changed; no one dared any longer say a word for the humanization of knowledge; the denunciation of outlines was now the easy road to critical repute; it became the style to speak with a delicate superiority of any non-fiction book that could be understood. The snob movement in literature began.

Ш

Many of the criticisms were disagreeably just. The Story of Philosophy was, and is, shot through with defects. First of all, it was incomplete. The total omission of scholastic philosophy was an outrage, forgivable only in one who had suffered much from it in college and seminary, and resented it thereafter as rather a disguised theology than an honest philosophy. It is true that in some cases (Schopenhauer, Nietzsche, Spencer, Voltaire) the exposition of doctrine was more complete than in most histories of philosophy, regardless of their length. And it is true that the very first page frankly announced:

This book is not a complete history of philosophy. It is an attempt to humanize knowledge by centering the story of speculative thought around certain dominant personalities. Certain lesser figures have been omitted in order that those selected might have the space required to make them live. (Preface.)

Nevertheless the incompleteness remained. The worst sin of all—though the critics do not seem to have noticed it—was the omission of Chinese and Hindu philosophy. Even a "story"

of philosophy that begins with Socrates, and has nothing to say about Lao-tze and Confucius, Mencius and Chwang-tze, Buddha and Shankara, is provincially incomplete. As for the word Story, which has since been so abused with use, it was chosen partly to indicate that the record would concern itself chiefly with the more vital philosophers, partly to convey the sense that the development of thought was a romance as stirring as any in history.

No apology is offered for the neglect of epistemology. That dismal science received its due in the chapter on Kant, where for forty pages the reader was invited to consider the puzzles of perception. This chapter should have pleased the young pundit, for it came very near to obscurity. (However, one professor of philosophy, in a Midwest university, sent in the information that he had been teaching Kant for fifteen years, and had never understood Kant's meaning until he read this elementary chapter.) For the rest, the book suggested unamiably that the nature of the knowledge process was but one of the many problems of philosophy; that this single problem was unfit to absorb the attention which the savants and the Germans had lavished upon it; and that its weary exploitation was largely responsible for the decadence of philosophy. French have never yielded to this craze for epistemology to the exclusion of moral and political, historical and religious philosophy: and today even the Germans are recovering from it. Hear Keyserling: "Philosophy is essentially the completion of science in the synthesis of wisdom. . . . Epistemology, phenomenology, logic, etc., certainly are important branches of (Precisely; they are branches of science, like chemistry or anatomy.) "But it was an unmitigated evil that as the result of this, the sense for the living synthesis should have disappeared." (Creative Understanding, New York, 1929, p. 125.) This from a German—a Daniel come to judgment. And Spengler describes the earlier Chinese philosophers, down

¹ The first volume of The Story of Civilization will attempt to atone for this omission.

to Confucius, as "statesmen, regents, lawgivers, like Pythagoras and Parmenides, like Hobbes and Leibniz. . . . They were sturdy philosophers for whom epistemology was the knowledge of the important relations of actual life." (Decline of the West, vol. i, p. 42.) Doubtless now that epistemology is dying in Germany, it will be exported to America, as a fit return for the gift of democracy.

The Chinese philosophers were not only averse to epistemology, they had an almost Gallic disdain for prolonged metaphysics. No young metaphysician could admit that Confucius is a philosopher, for he says nothing about metaphysics, and less about epistemology; he is as positivistic as Spencer or Comte; his concern is always for morals and the state. Worse than that, he is disreputably intelligible; and nothing could be so damaging to a philosopher. But we "moderns" have become so accustomed to windy verbiage in philosophy that when philosophy is presented without the verbiage we can with difficulty recognize it. One must pay a penalty for having a prejudice against obscurity.

The Story tried to salt itself with a seasoning of humor, not only because wisdom is not wise if it scares away merriment, but because a sense of humor, being born of perspective, bears a near kinship to philosophy; each is the soul of the other. But this appears to have displeased the pundits; nothing so hurt the book with them as its smiles. A reputation for humor is disastrous to statesmen and philosophers: Germany could not forgive Schopenhauer his story of Unzelmann, and only France has recognized the depth behind the wit and brilliance of Voltaire.

I trust that the book never misled its readers into supposing that by reading it they would become philosophers overnight, or that they would be saved the trouble, or pleasure, of reading the philosophers themselves. God knows there is no short-cut to knowledge; after forty years of seeking her one finds "Truth" still veiled, and what she shows of herself most disconcerting. Instead of aiming to be a substitute for philoso-

phers, the Story explicitly offered itself as an introduction and an invitation; it quoted the philosophers lavishly, so that the taste for them might linger when the book was closed; time and again it prodded the reader to the original texts (e. g., on pp. 22, 67, 121, 289, 331, 425, 438); and warning was given that one reading of them would hardly be enough. Cf. p. 186:

Spinoza is not to be read, he is to be studied; you must approach him as you would approach Euclid, recognizing that in these brief two hundred pages a man has written down his lifetime's thought with stoic sculptury of everything superfluous. Do not think to find its core by running over it rapidly. . . . Read the book not all at once, but in small portions at many sittings. And having finished it, consider that you have but begun to understand it. Read then some commentary, like Pollock's Spinoza, or Martineau's Study of Spinoza, or, better, both. Finally, read the Ethics again; it will be a new book to you. When you have finished it a second time you will remain forever a lover of philosophy.

It is comforting to learn that the sales of the philosophical classics increased some two hundred per cent. after the publication of the Story. Many publishers have issued new editions, particularly of Plato, Spinoza, Voltaire, Schopenhauer and Nietzsche. A high official of the New York Public Library, who asks to be unnamed, reports that

ever since the publication of the Story of Philosophy we have had a wide and increasing demand from the public for the philosophical classics, and our stock of them in the branch libraries has been gradually increased. . . . Formerly, current books about philosophy were purchased in small quantities for the system; but in the last two or three years a readable new book about philosophy is purchased very generally at the outset, in anticipation of a demand which eventually does develop, and quickly at that.

Let us not, then, be ashamed of teaching the people. Those jealous ones who would guard their knowledge from the world

have only themselves to blame if their exclusiveness and their barbarous terminology have led the world to seek in books, in lectures, and in adult education, the instruction which they themselves have failed to give. Let them be grateful that their halting efforts are aided by amateurs who love life enough to let it humanize their teaching. Perhaps each kind of teacher can be of aid to the other: the cautious scholar to check our enthusiasm with accuracy, and the enthusiast to pour warmth and blood into the fruits of scholarship. Between us we might build up in America an audience fit to listen to geniuses, and therefore ready to produce them. We are all imperfect teachers, but we may be forgiven if we have advanced the matter a little, and have done our best. We announce the prologue, and retire; after us better players will come.

THE STORY OF PHILOSOPHY has been translated into German, French, Swedish, Danish, Jugo-Slavian, Chinese, Japanese and Hungarian. The Amertean edition alone has sold 548,825 copies.

There is a second to the control of the control of

The first MORE Will be COUNTY DIVER A may from Extendibled it on interiors of Monach.
Survived Country, American Markets, American was under Conjugation. The Survey.
Selection of the country was actiful to the Confusion.

							-
	ce to the Second Edition	•	•	4		•.	PAGE V
Intro	ouction: On The Uses of Philosophy	:40	Emil	.0,	,⊕,	104	1
4.3			4.1				
	CHAPTER I						
	PLATO						
I.	The Context of Plato	•	•	•	•		7
II.	Socrates				•		11
III.	The Preparation of Plato				•.	•.,	19
IV.	The Ethical Problem						23
V.	The Political Problem						26
VI.	The Psychological Problem						29
VII.	The Psychological Solution	•					31
VIII.	The Political Solution					·	40
IX.	The Ethical Solution	·	•	•	•	·	47
X.	Criticism	·			:•,	, . .	46:
4.2.	CITOCOMI	,•.	•1	•	•	•	
	- 1						
					:		
				r	?		
G	CITA DIMED. TE				1		
	CHAPTER II				1		
e e 	CHAPTER II ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC	IEN	ICE				
. G	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC	IEN	1CE				58
I.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background	IEN	CE	•	*		
II.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle	IEN	ICE	•	*		62
II. III.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic	IEN :	ICE	•			62 67
II.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science	IEN : :	CE	•	**************************************		62 67 72
II. III.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle	IEN : :	ICE	•			62 67 72 72
II. III.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist	IEN	ICE				62 67 72 72 75
II. III. IV.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist 3. The Foundation of Biology	IEN	ICE				62 67 72 72 75 76
II. III. IV.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist 3. The Foundation of Biology Metaphysics and the Nature of God	IEN	ICE				62 67 72 72 75 76 80
II. III. IV.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist 3. The Foundation of Biology Metaphysics and the Nature of God . Psychology and the Nature of Art .	IEN	i.				62 67 72 72 75 76 80 82
II. III. IV. V. VI. VII.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist 3. The Foundation of Biology Metaphysics and the Nature of God Psychology and the Nature of Art Ethics and the Nature of Happiness	: : : : :	·				62 67 72 72 75 76 80 82 85
II. III. IV.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist 3. The Foundation of Biology Metaphysics and the Nature of God Psychology and the Nature of Art Ethics and the Nature of Happiness Politics	IEN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				62 67 72 72 75 76 80 82 85 90
II. III. IV. V. VI. VII.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist 3. The Foundation of Biology Metaphysics and the Nature of God Psychology and the Nature of Art Ethics and the Nature of Happiness Politics 1. Communism and Conservatism	: : : : :					62 67 72 72 75 76 80 82 85 90
II. III. IV. V. VI. VII.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist 3. The Foundation of Biology Metaphysics and the Nature of God Psychology and the Nature of Art Ethics and the Nature of Happiness Politics 1. Communism and Conservatism 2. Marriage and Education	: : : : : :	·				62 67 72 72 75 76 80 82 85 90 90
V. VI. VII. VIII.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist 3. The Foundation of Biology Metaphysics and the Nature of God Psychology and the Nature of Art Ethics and the Nature of Happiness Politics 1. Communism and Conservatism 2. Marriage and Education 3. Democracy and Aristocracy	ien	·				62 67 72 75 76 80 82 85 90 94 98
II. III. IV. V. VI. VII.	ARISTOTLE AND GREEK SC. The Historical Background The Work of Aristotle The Foundation of Logic The Organization of Science 1. Greek Science before Aristotle 2. Aristotle as a Naturalist 3. The Foundation of Biology Metaphysics and the Nature of God Psychology and the Nature of Art Ethics and the Nature of Happiness Politics 1. Communism and Conservatism 2. Marriage and Education	:					62 67 72 72 75 76 80 82 85 90 90

	CHAPTER III							PAGE	
	FRANCIS BACO	N							
			,						
Į.	From Aristotle to the Renais ance		•	•	•	•	•	•	107
II.	The Political Career of Francis Ba	con	l	•	•	•	•	•	117
III.	The Essays	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	122
IV.	The Great Reconstruction	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	131
	1. The Advancement of Learning	•	· -	•	•	•	•	•	133
	2. The New Organon	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	141
	3. The Utopia of Science	•	•	•	.•	•	, • ·	•	148
V .	Criticism	•	•	10	.•		•	•	152
VI.	Épilogue	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	158
	CHAPTER IV								
	SPINOZA								
I.	Historical and Biographical .								161
	1. The Odyssey of the Jews .	•	•		•	•	•	•	161
	2. The Education of Spinoza.					•	•	·	164
	3. Excommunication					•	•	•	167
	4. Retirement and Death					•	•	•	170
II.	The Treatise on Religion and the	Sta	te			•	•	•	178
III.	The Improvement of the Intellect					•	•	•	182
IV.	The Ethics	•	•			•	•	•	185
	1. Nature and God	•	•	:	•	•	•	•	187
	2. Matter and Mind	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	193
	3. Intelligence and Morals .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	197
	4. Religion and Immortality .	•			10	•	•	•	205
V.	The Political Treatise	•	•.		10				208
VI.	The Influence of Spinoza	•	•	40	o o	:		•	215
		-	~	-	Let	-	•	٠,•	

•	CHAPTER V			PAGE
VC	LTAIRE AND THE FRENCH ENLIGHTENM	1E	r	
I.	Paris: Œdipe	Ü		218
II.	London: The Letters on the English			226
III.				228
IV.	Potsdam and Frederick			235
	Les Délices: The Essay on Morals			240
VI.	Ferney: Candide			244
VII.	The Encyclopedia and the Philosophic Dictionary	•		251
VIII.	Écrasez l'Infame		•	257
IX.	The Encyclopedia and the Philosophic Dictionary Ecrasez l'Infame. Voltaire and Rousseau.			266
X.	Dénouement	•	•	272
*		:		
	CHAPTER VI			
	IMMANUEL KANT AND GERMAN IDEALIS	SM		
T.	Roads to Kant			276
	1. From Voltaire to Kant	•	٠	277
	2. From Locke to Kant	•	Ĭ	279
	2. From Locke to Kant	•	•	282
II.	Kant Himself	Ĭ	Ĭ	285
III.	The Critique of Pure Reason		Ĭ	289
	1. Transcendental Esthetic			291
	2. Transcendental Analytic			295
	3. Transcendental Dialectic		i	296
IV.	The Critique of Practical Reason			300
V.	On Religion and Reason	•		303
VI.	On Politics and Eternal Peace	•		307
VII.	Criticism and Estimate			311
VIII.	A Note on Hegel	•	•	317

	CHAPTER VII		PAGE									
SCHOPENHAUER												
I.	The Age	-	326									
II.	The Man	•	328									
III.	The World as Idea	•	335									
IV.	The World as Will	•	338									
* [*]	1. The Will to Live	•	338									
1	2. The Will to Reproduce	•	345									
V.	The World as Evil		351									
VI.	The Wisdom of Life		358									
	1. Philosophy		358									
	2. Genius		363									
	8. Art		365									
	4. Religion		367									
VII.	The Wisdom of Death		369									
VIII.			373									
	Criticism	•	0,0									
	and the second of the second o											
	CHAPTER VIII											
	HERBERT SPENCER											
I.	Comte and Darwin	•	381									
II.	The Development of Spencer		385									
III.	First Principles		395									
	1. The Unknowable		395									
	2. Evolution	•	397									
IV.	Biology: The Evolution of Life		402									
V.	Psychology: The Evolution of Mind		405									
VI.	Sociology: The Evolution of Society		408									
VII.	Ethics: The Evolution of Morals		417									
VIII.	Criticism		425									
	1. First Principles		425									
	2. Biology and Psychology		428									
	 Biology and Psychology Sociology and Ethics 		429									
IX.	Conclusion	•	431									

U G		PAGE
	CHAPTER IX	
	HAT HE OF FRIEDRICH NIETZSCHE	
ı.		435
II.	Vanish	437
III.		441
IV.	The Song of Zarathustra	448
v.	Hero-morality	454
VI.	The Superman	461
VII.	Decadence	465
VIII.	Aristocracv	469
IX.	Criticism	476
X.	Finale	481
20.0		
9.4 .		
	CHAPTER X	
	CONTEMPORARY EUROPEAN PHILOSOPHERS	
I:	Henri Bergson	487
170 v		487
.84 s	2. Mind and Brain	490
of the a	3. Creative Evolution	497
	4. Criticism	503
II.	Benedetto Croce	507
	I. The Man	507
	2. The Philosophy of the Spirit	510
	3. What is Beauty?	514
***	4. Criticism	516
III.	Dertrand Aussell	518
	1. The Logician	918
	Z. The Reformer	528 526
	3. Epilogue	220

																PAGE
CHAPTER XI																
CONTEMPORARY AMERICAN PHILOSOPHERS																
Introduction											•	580				
- I.	Georg	e San	ntaya	ına			• 1	•	•		•	•-	•	•		532
	1. Bio						• .			•		4		•	•	582
	2. Sce	epticis	sm a	nd.	Anir	nal.	Fa	ith	•	• -	•	•	•	•	•	584
	3. Re							•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	586
	4. Re	ason	in R	elig	ion	• .		•	•		•	•		•	•	540
	5. Re	ason	in S	ocie	ty.		•	•	• •		•	•	•	•	•	544
	6. Co	mmen	t.	•	•		•	•	• .	• .	•	•	•		•	550
II.	Willia	m, Ja	ąmeş	• .	• .	• .	•	• ,	• .	• .	• ,		•	•		553
	1. Pe	rsona	Ļ.	• .	•		•	• ,	•	• .	• ,	•	•			558
	2. Pr	agmat	tism	•			•		•		•	•	•			555
	8. Ph	ıralisı	m.	•	•				•	•		•	•	•		558
	4. Co	mmen	t.			•	•	•	•	•			•			564
III.	John	Dewe	y .			•							•			565
	1. Ed					•	¥ .				•					565
	2. In:	strum	ental	ism												568
	3. Sci	ience	and	Poli	tics									•		571
Conclus	sion .			•		•	•	•		•	•	•				576
Index												•	•	•		579
Glossar	ror .	-						•								587
Diblion		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		-	7	-	_		_	691

TO THE READER

This book is not a complete history of philosophy. attempt to humanize knowledge by centering the story of speculative thought around certain dominant personalities. tain lesser figures have been omitted in order that those selected might have the space required to make them live. Hence the inadequate treatment of the half-legendary pre-Socratics, the Stoics and Epicureans, the Scholastics, and the epistemologists. The author believes that epistemology has kidnapped modern philosophy, and well nigh ruined it; he hopes for the time when the study of the knowledge-process will be recognized as the business of the science of psychology, and when philosophy will again be understood as the synthetic interpretation of all experience rather than the analytic description of the mode and process of experience itself. Analysis belongs to science, and gives us knowledge; philosophy must provide a synthesis for wisdom.

The author would like to record here a debt which he can never repay, to Alden Freeman, who gave him education, travel, and the inspiration of a noble and enlightened life. May this best of friends find in these pages—incidental and imperfect though they are—something not quite unworthy of his generosity and his faith.

WILL DURANT

New York, 1926.

หรือที่ เรื่อน เอาได้และเมื่อได้การที่เปลื่อไปได้เกิดตาก ค. โดก ที่ได้ติดูสักที่เกิดไ estação do mentra pertrigido a presidido a final dos entre entrega entreta do como contrator erio - carettica e mas succinimas niciras tom cer diferenti estitutado faction in the contract of the salit polit and and more of their or earn of bold board i Millian (1971), and reclede of Hot all a school of marce and i a tringlement in the law with the law and the law and the law in the law and t iraktura progravi Esta i zgjetnikolikyo buli privilski zati (a. bilik o Brocks words with recita count. Most booking in the country in the process of the gill en honogen ve ga fil grænningerele kund bil til bli at utk vill f Him to harge (1) is early from a regulative so the executed the second and Partie Coultie continue Germani, afterficare e et un Tromanifece (d. etc.). thing of any soil to make the object of the cold and the store of the soil was her is secretary, it received the distinct. The distinct are because the experience of ndi disimilian a **chim**my libera vi amelilla adpentifica ma malika Tall of the second

rain while it is sold in a constant of the first week to be a Destroyand and the Comment of the second of the comment of the com Millione and Application of the Bown that a filter detail in the Search of the Contract breakternaliger begannen holdtrock fran Die aber in belief old betre bei til รู้ของได้ทำจากเหตุการการที่เอาการการการการในให้สายเพาะเพื่อวิจากการการที่การที่สามารถการการการการการการที่สุด

INTRODUCTION

ON THE USES OF PHILOSOPHY

There is a pleasure in philosophy, and a lure even in the mirages of metaphysics, which every student feels until the coarse necessities of physical existence drag him from the heights of thought into the mart of economic strife and gain. Most of us have known some golden days in the June of life when philosophy was in fact what Plato calls it, "that dear delight"; when the love of a modestly elusive Truth seemed more glorious, incomparably, than the lust for the ways of the flesh and the dross of the world. And there is always some wistful remnant in us of that early wooing of wisdom. "Life has meaning," we feel with Browning—"to find its meaning is my meat and drink." So much of our lives is meaningless, a selfcancelling vacillation and futility; we strive with the chaos about us and within; but we would believe all the while that there is something vital and significant in us, could we but decipher our own souls. We want to understand; "life means for us constantly to transform into light and flame all that we are or meet with": 1 we are like Mitva in The Brothers Karamazov-"one of those who don't want millions, but an answer to their questions"; we want to seize the value and perspective of passing things, and so to pull ourselves up out of the maelstrom of daily circumstance. We want to know that the little things are little, and the big things big, before it is too late; we want to see things now as they will seem forever-"in the light of eternity." We want to learn to laugh in the face of the inevitable, to smile even at the looming of death. We want to be whole, to coordinate our energies by criticizing and harmonizing our desires; for coordinated energy is the

¹ Nietzsche, The Joyful Wisdom, pref.

last word in ethics and politics, and perhaps in logic and metaphysics too. "To be a philosopher," said Thoreau, "is not merely to have subtle thoughts, nor even to found a school, but so to love wisdom as to live, according to its dictates, a life of simplicity, independence, magnanimity, and trust." We may be sure that if we can but find wisdom, all things else will be added unto us. "Seek ye first the good things of the mind," Bacon admonishes us, "and the rest will either be supplied or its loss will not be felt." Truth will not make us rich, but it will make us free.

Some ungentle reader will check us here by informing us that philosophy is as useless as chess, as obscure as ignorance, and as stagnant as content. "There is nothing so absurd," said Cicero. "but that it may be found in the books of the philosophers." Doubtless some philosophers have had all sorts of wisdom except common sense; and many a philosophic flight has been due to the elevating power of thin air. resolve, on this voyage of ours, to put in only at the ports of light, to keep out of the muddy streams of metaphysics and the "many-sounding seas" of theological dispute. But is philosophy stagnant? Science seems always to advance, while philosophy seems always to lose ground. Yet this is only because philosophy accepts the hard and hazardous task of dealing with problems not vet open to the methods of science—problems like good and evil, beauty and ugliness, order and freedom, life and death; so soon as a field of inquiry yields knowledge susceptible of exact formulation it is called science. Every science begins as philosophy and ends as art; it arises in hypothesis and flows into achievement. Philosophy is a hypothetical interpretation of the unknown (as in metaphysics), or of the inexactly known (as in ethics or political philosophy); it is the front trench in the siege of truth. Science is the captured territory; and behind it are those secure regions in which knowledge and art build our imperfect and

¹ De Augmentis Scientiarum, VIII, 2.

marvelous world. Philosophy seems to stand still, perplexed; but only because she leaves the fruits of victory to her daughters the sciences, and herself passes on, divinely discontent, to the uncertain and unexplored.

Shall we be more technical? Science is analytical description, philosophy is synthetic interpretation. Science wishes to resolve the whole into parts, the organism into organs, the obscure into the known. It does not inquire into the values and ideal possibilities of things, nor into their total and final significance; it is content to show their present actuality and operation, it narrows its gaze resolutely to the nature and process of things as they are. The scientist is as impartial as Nature in Turgenev's poem: he is as interested in the leg of a flea as in the creative threes of a genius. But the philosopher is not content to describe the fact; he wishes to ascertain its relation to experience in general, and thereby to get at its meaning and its worth; he combines things in interpretive synthesis; he tries to put together, better than before, that great universe-watch which the inquisitive scientist has analytically Science tells us how to heal and how to kill: taken apart. it reduces the death rate in retail and then kills us wholesale in war; but only wisdom-desire coördinated in the light of all experience—can tell us when to heal and when to kill. To observe processes and to construct means is science; to criticize and coordinate ends is philosophy: and because in these days our means and instruments have multiplied beyond our interpretation and synthesis of ideals and ends, our life is full of sound and fury, signifying nothing. For a fact is nothing except in relation to desire; it is not complete except in relation to a purpose and a whole. Science without philosophy, facts without perspective and valuation, cannot save us from havoc and despair. Science gives us knowledge, but only philosophy can give us wisdom.

Specifically, philosophy means and includes five fields of study and discourse: logic, esthetics, ethics, politics, and meta-

physics. Logic is the study of ideal method in thought and research: observation and introspection, deduction and induction, hypothesis and experiment, analysis and synthesis—such are the forms of human activity which logic tries to understand and guide; it is a dull study for most of us, and yet the great events in the history of thought are the improvements men have made in their methods of thinking and research. ics is the study of ideal form, or beauty; it is the philosophy of art. Ethics is the study of ideal conduct; the highest knowledge, said Socrates, is the knowledge of good and evil, the knowledge of the wisdom of life. Politics is the study of ideal social organization (it is not, as one might suppose, the art and science of capturing and keeping office); monarchy, aristocracy, democracy, socialism, anarchism, feminism—these are the dramatis personae of political philosophy. And lastly, metaphysics (which gets into so much trouble because it is not, like the other forms of philosophy, an attempt to coördinate the real in the light of the ideal) is the study of the "ultimate reality" of all things: of the real and final nature of "matter" (ontology), of "mind" (philosophical psychology), and of the interrelation of "mind" and "matter" in the processes of perception and knowledge (epistemology).

These are the parts of philosophy; but so dismembered it loses its beauty and its joy. We shall seek it not in its shrivelled abstractness and formality, but clothed in the living form of genius; we shall study not merely philosophies, but philosophers; we shall spend our time with the saints and martyrs of thought, letting their radiant spirit play about us until perhaps we too, in some measure, shall partake of what Leonardo called "the noblest pleasure, the joy of understanding." Each of these philosophers has some lesson for us, if we approach him properly. "Do you know," asks Emerson, "the secret of the true scholar? In every man there is something wherein I may learn of him; and in that I am his pupil." Well, surely we may take this attitude to the master minds of history without hurt to our pride! And we may flatter our-

selves with that other thought of Emerson's, that when genius speaks to us we feel a ghostly reminiscence of having ourselves, in our distant youth, had vaguely this self-same thought which genius now speaks, but which we had not art or courage to clothe with form and utterance. And indeed, great men speak to us only so far as we have ears and souls to hear them; only so far as we have in us the roots, at least, of that which flowers out in them. We too have had the experiences they had, but we did not suck those experiences dry of their secret and subtle meanings: we were not sensitive to the overtones of the reality that hummed about us. Genius hears the overtones, and the music of the spheres; genius knows what Pythagoras meant when he said that philosophy is the highest music.

So let us listen to these men, ready to forgive them their passing errors, and eager to learn the lessons which they are so eager to teach. "Do you then be reasonable," said old Socrates to Crito, "and do not mind whether the teachers of philosophy are good or bad, but think only of Philosophy herself. Try to examine her well and truly; and if she be evil, seek to turn away all men from her; but if she be what I believe she is, then follow her and serve her, and be of good cheer."

solver with the terbola treation of the green state of less length ages seems to the second second second second second second second less length of the second less length of the second less length of the second second

clearly with a first of the second se